	Case 2:02-cv-01705-NVW-ECV Docume	ent 96 Filed 11/23/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Scott Allen Patzke,) No. CV 02-1705-PHX-NVW (ECV)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	vs.))
12	Dora B. Schriro, et al.,)
13	Defendants.))
14))
15	D1-:-4:CC1C1-1-M-4:4-C4-:1-	D-4'
16	Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Strike Portions of Defendants' Statement of Facts (Doc.	
17 18	#80) in which he claims that some of the statements in the statement of facts supporting	
19	Defendants' motion for summary judgment are inadmissible and should be stricken.	
20	Defendants have filed a Response (Doc. #86) in which they argue that the statements are	
21	properly supported and the court should be permitted to consider them in deciding the summary judgment motion. After review of the motion and the response, the court finds that	
22	the challenged statements are sufficiently supported by affidavits. If when deciding the	
23		
24	motion for summary judgment the court concludes that there is insufficient foundation or some other defect in the contents of an affidavit, the court can disregard the information.	
25	Plaintiff's motion will therefore be denied.	
26		
27	/// ///	
28	/// ///	
-		

	Case 2:02-cv-01705-NVW-ECV Document 96 Filed 11/23/05 Page 2 of 2
1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:
2	That Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Portions of Defendants' Statement of Facts (Doc. #80)
3	is denied.
4	DATED this 23 rd day of November, 2005.
5	
6	
7	
8	Sund (600)
9	Edward C. Voss United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
2425	
2526	
27	
28	
۷۵	